

### ARTICLE INFO

#### Citation:

Kerkez Janković I, Šijačić-Nikolić M, Nonić M, Devetaković J (2020) The growth of one-year-old narrowleaved ash seedlings is strongly related to the leaf area parameters. Reforesta 10: 31-39. DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.21750/REFO R.10.04.87

Editor: Arthur Novikov, Russia Received: 2020-12-15 Accepted: 2020-12-28 Published: 2020-12-30



**Copyright:** © 2020 Kerkez Janković Ivona, Šijačić-Nikolić Mirjana, Nonić Marina, Devetaković Jovana. This work is licensed under a <u>Creative Commons Attribution</u>

4.0 International Public License.



# The growth of one-year-old narrow-leaved ash seedlings is strongly related to the leaf area parameters

# Ivona Kerkez Janković <sup>⊠</sup>, Mirjana Šijačić-Nikolić, Marina Nonić, Jovana Devetaković

Faculty of Forestry – University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia

⊠ <u>ivona.kerkez@sfb.bg.ac.rs</u>

## Abstract

The leaf area of plant leave scan be considered as an indicator of the plant growth conditions, and its variability is usually associated with adaptation and response to the changing environment. The aim of this study is to investigate the relation of the leaf area parameters on growth of the narrow-leaved ash 1+0 seedlings from the nursery trial and determining variability between the 18 half-sib lines. The seedlings were obtained from seeds collected from 18 maternal trees found in the area of the special nature reserve Upper Danube Region. Ten randomly selected 1+0 seedlings per halfsib line were selected for further study, and all leaves per seedling were collected, herbarized and scanned. The leaf area of each leaf (LACL) was measured using an open-source image processing program – ImageJ, and the total leaf area per seedling (TLA) was calculated. Also, the number of leaves per plant (NCL) as well as the number of leaflets within each imparipinnate compound leaf (NLCL) were counted. The results showed that differences between studied attributes were statistically significant among 18 selected half-sib lines (p < 0.05). The most variable parameter was the total leaf area per seedling (TLA; CV=68.98%), which is strongly correlated to the leaf area per each leaf (LACL; r=0.95) and the number of leaflets (NLCL; r=0.94). In this study, we confirmed that leaf parameters were highly correlated to the growth of one-year-old Fraxinus angustifolia seedlings.

# Keywords

Leaf area; Morphometric traits; Narrow-leaved ash; Half-sib lines

# Contents

| 1 | Introduction              | 31 |
|---|---------------------------|----|
| 2 | Material and method       | 33 |
| 3 | Results                   | 34 |
| 4 | Discussion and conclusion | 37 |
| 5 | Acknowledgements          | 37 |
| 6 | References                | 38 |

# **1** Introduction

*Fraxinus angustifolia* Vahl (narrow-leaved ash) is a broadleaved forest tree species found throughout southern and eastern Europe in mixed broadleaved

woodlands (Fraxigen 2005). In Serbia, it commonly occurs in monodominant and mixed forests in the alluvial area of large rivers (Tomić 2004). This pioneer species commonly grows on gley soils where it builds monodominant forests (Bobinac et al. 2010). Seedlings of narrow-leaved ash, as a hygrophytic tree species, do not tolerate dry conditions and no watering (Drvodelić et al. 2016), which indicates the high vulnerability of this species in the context of climate change. Climate change extremes, such as extreme temperatures and long dry periods, uneven distribution of precipitation directly affect the dynamics of groundwater levels and the dynamics of precipitation and floodwater. The causes of the tree declining comprise also a drop in groundwater levels, changes in floodwater dynamics, water logging and drying of habitats, the pollution of flood and precipitation water, etc. (Drvodelić et al. 2016).

Since the leaves are the principal photosynthetic plant organs (Wright et al. 2004), a variety of biological processes (plant growth, survival, reproduction, and ecosystem function) are affected by the size of leaves (Koch et al. 2004; Tozer et al. 2015). Also, leaf size (i.e. leaf area) profoundly affects a variety of biological carbon, water and energy processes (Wang et al. 2019). Leaf size is linearly negatively correlated with leafing intensity (Kleiman and Aarssen, 2007). The fitness benefits of higher leafing intensity (namely small leaves) are primarily associated with the fitness benefits of a larger pool of axillary buds, which in turn provide the greater facility for wide phenotypic plasticity in the allocation of these meristems to vegetative versus reproductive functions (Kleiman and Aarssen, 2007). Leaf size evolution is one of the fundamental adaptation strategies of plants to environmental changes (Yang et al., 2008) and it can be understood by the implications of leaf size-number trade-off (Wang et al. 2019). In adult trees, leaf area can be variable depending on their position within the crown and light influence (Srámek and Čermák 2012). However, if the ecological conditions are the same (as in a nursery, for example), the leaf area can be considered as a parameter under the influence of genetic control. Nursery influence can be great on the leaf morphology, so some studies show the greater influence of water regime on the leaf traits than the origin (Black-Samuelsson et al. 2003). A strong relationship between leaf morphological characteristics and seedling morphological parameters has been proved (Ivetić et al. 2014).

In the context of climate change, with temperatures rising, leaf area studies and measurements will become even more important. If too much water is lost through transpiration, the plant needs to absorb more water from the ground, but if there is not enough water, plants would be stressed. In plant production, excessive transpiration means more irrigation and fertilizer use. A larger leaf area indicates greater photosynthetic and transpiration potential, but also it means that seedlings would be more stressed if there is not enough water in the soil (Wang et al. 2019).

It is very demanding to use leaf parameters as morphometric quality parameters of seedlings since this is a time-costing and invasive method, but it can be used to show the relation of these parameters with the growth of seedlings. Production and planting of the best quality narrow-leaved ash seedlings are crucial for regeneration since this species lack natural regeneration on the floodplains (Andrić 2018).

This paper aims to study the relation of leaf area parameters to the growth of one-year-old narrow-leaved ash seedlings in the nursery trial and determining variability among the 18 half-sib lines. The study results contribute to the knowledge base of narrow-leaved ash seedlings production.

# 2 Material and method

Maternal trees of the narrow-leaved ash, characterized by the superior phenotypic characteristics, were selected among those found in mixed forests in the area of the special nature reserve Upper Danube Region ("Gornje Podunavlje"). Eight trees (labeled from FA\_2 to FA\_9) were selected from the Management Unit (MU) "Karapandža", and 10 trees from the MU "Monoštorske šume" (labeled from FA\_10 to FA\_14 and, from FA\_16 to FA\_20). The diameter at the breast height (DBH) and the total height (H) were measured, and the age and GPS coordinates (Gauss Kruger coordinates) of all trees were determined (Table 1).

Table 1. Characteristics of the maternal trees: MU – management unit; K – MU "Karapandža"; M – MU "Monoštorske šume"; DBH – diameter at the breast height; H – total height.

| Maternal tree | Coordinates        | MU | DBH [cm] | H [m] | Age [years] |
|---------------|--------------------|----|----------|-------|-------------|
| FA_2          | 7334249<br>5079633 | к  | 41       | 27.2  | 39          |
| FA_3          | 7334567<br>5079405 | К  | 29       | 28.5  | 40          |
| FA_4          | 7334604<br>5079421 | К  | 33       | 32.2  | 40          |
| FA_5          | 7334543<br>5079441 | К  | 50       | 30.8  | 40          |
| FA_6          | 7334565<br>5079429 | К  | 28       | 32.4  | 40          |
| FA_7          | 7334269<br>5077755 | к  | 69       | 32.5  | 32          |
| FA_8          | 7334286<br>5077692 | К  | 33       | 32.6  | 32          |
| FA_9          | 7334347<br>5076811 | к  | 53       | 27.7  | 56          |
| FA_10         | 7336637<br>5077102 | М  | 36       | 21.8  | 42          |
| FA_11         | 7336850<br>5077090 | М  | 68       | 21.8  | 42          |
| FA_12         | 7336895<br>5076948 | М  | 47       | 28.7  | 42          |
| FA_13         | 7337156<br>5076819 | М  | 39       | 17.3  | 24          |
| FA_14         | 7337107<br>5076885 | М  | 54       | 24.4  | 21          |
| FA_16         | 7337692<br>5071692 | М  | 41       | 20.5  | 23          |
| FA_17         | 7337654<br>5071725 | М  | 69       | 25.4  | 23          |
| FA_18         | 7339959<br>5068150 | М  | 39       | 28.2  | 32          |
| FA_19         | 7339614<br>5067982 | М  | 60       | 33.9  | 32          |
| FA_20         | 7339925<br>5068561 | М  | 96       | 32.7  | 32          |

The seeds collected from maternal trees in October 2016 were used for the establishment of the progeny test in the nursery of the Faculty of Forestry, University of Belgrade. The seeds were sown immediately in a seedbed with natural soil, and during the growing season, cultural practices were performed once a week. The seedbeds were irrigated when needed, based on soil moisture.

All leaves per seedling were collected in August 2017 from 10 randomly selected 1+0 seedlings representing each of the 18 half-sib lines. Collected leaves were herbarized and scanned. The number of leaves per plant (NCL) was counted, as well as the number of leaflets within each imparipinnate compound leaf (NLCL). Also, the leaf area of each leaf (LACL) was measured using an open-source image processing program designed for scientific multidimensional images – ImageJ, and then, the total leaf area per seedling (TLA) was calculated. The root collar diameter (DIA) of seedlings was measured as well.

Descriptive statistics parameters (mean values, standard deviation, and minimal and maximal values) for all measured parameters, as well as One-Way ANOVA test, Tukey post-hoc test for each half-sib line, and the correlation coefficient between all morphological parameters were performed using software Statistica 7.0. The coefficient of variation was calculated in STATGRAPHICS Centurion XVI.I.

# 3 Results

The maximal mean number of leaves per plant (NCL) ranged from 9 to 16, and was observed in the half-sib line FA\_7 (12) (Table 2). The minimal mean number of leaves per plant ranged from 5 to 9 and was recorded in the half-sib line FA\_8. The lowest mean number of leaflets (NLCL)of 4.68 was recorded in half-sib line FA\_19, while the highest NLCL of 8.06 was recorded in the half-sib line FA\_4. Mean values of leaf area per leaf (LACL) ranged from 8.48 cm<sup>2</sup> (half-sib line FA\_19) to 27.82 cm<sup>2</sup> (half-sib line FA\_19) to 346.37 cm<sup>2</sup> (half-sib line FA\_4).

OneWay ANOVA revealed that that the differences among 18 selected half-sib lines with respect to the studied attributes were significant (p < 0.05) (Table 3).

The variability of measured morphological attributes was large (Table 4). The most variable parameter was the total leaf area per seedling (TLA; CV=68.98%), which was strongly correlated to the leaf area per each leaf (LACL; r=0.95) and the number of leaflets (NLCL; r=0.94). The least variable parameter was the number of leaflets within each imparipinnate compound leaf (NLCL; CV=21.83%). All correlation coefficients were positive (Table 4).

The mean values of height (HT) and root collar diameter (DIA), (Kerkez et al. 2018) were used to calculate the coefficient of correlation (Table 5). Height (HT) was strongly correlated to all leaf morphological parameters (NLCL, LACL, TLA), with the exception of the number of leaves per plant (NCL), which was moderately to strongly correlated to the height of seedlings. Root collar diameter (DIA) was strongly correlated to the leaf area per each leaf (LACL) and the total leaf area per seedling (TAL), moderately to strongly correlated to the number of leaves per plant (NCL).

Table 2. Mean values and standard deviation (sd) with post-hoc test groups, and extreme values of measured morphological parameters of leaves: the number of leaves per plant (NCL), the number of leaflets (NLCL), leaf area per each leaf (LACL) expressed in cm<sup>2</sup> and the total leaf area per seedling (TAL) expressed in cm<sup>2</sup> according to half-sib line.

| NCL           |                       |       | NLCL                 |         | LACL                  |         | TAL                   |         |
|---------------|-----------------------|-------|----------------------|---------|-----------------------|---------|-----------------------|---------|
| Half-sib      |                       |       | mean                 |         | mean                  |         |                       |         |
| line          | value                 | min-  | value                | min-max | value                 | min-max | mean value            | min-max |
|               | (sd)                  | max   | (sd)                 |         | (sd)                  |         | (sd)                  |         |
|               | 8.10 <sup>abc</sup>   |       | 6.03 <sup>abcd</sup> | 4.67-   | 26.24 <sup>e</sup>    | 14.41-  | 210.72 <sup>bcd</sup> | 90.77-  |
| FA_2          | (2.47)                | 5-13  | (0.79)               | 7.27    | (10.21)               | 47.36   | (93.78)               | 372.04  |
|               | 11.00 <sup>bcd</sup>  |       | 7.26 <sup>de</sup>   | 5.54-   | 26.02 <sup>de</sup>   | 11.04-  | 272.92 <sup>de</sup>  | 121.42- |
| FA_3          | (2.31)                | 8-15  | (1.18)               | 9.10    | (11.95)               | 51.47   | (98.14)               | 424.75  |
|               | 12.30 <sup>d</sup>    |       | 8.06 <sup>e</sup>    | 6.67-   | 27.82 <sup>e</sup>    | 19.90-  | 346.37 <sup>e</sup>   | 195.87- |
| FA_4          | (2.45)                | 9-16  | (0.98)               | 9.94    | (5.75)                | 37.22   | (114.90)              | 523.33  |
|               | 11.10 <sup>bcd</sup>  |       | 7.23 <sup>de</sup>   | 6.00-   | 21.88 <sup>bcde</sup> | 14.58-  | 245.49 <sup>cde</sup> | 131.22- |
| FA_5          | (1.97)                | 9-15  | (0.83)               | 8.47    | (4.79)                | 30.28   | (74.89)               | 349.62  |
| <b>-</b>      | 9.60 <sup>abcd</sup>  |       | 6.69 <sup>cde</sup>  | 5.80-   | 28.33 <sup>e</sup>    | 19.79-  | 273.55 <sup>de</sup>  | 178.15- |
| FA_6          | (1.51)                | 8-13  | (0.63)               | 8.11    | (6.31)                | 42.84   | (81.45)               | 428.38  |
| <b>-</b> –    | 12.00 <sup>cd</sup>   | 9-16  | 7.82 <sup>e</sup>    | 5.30-   | 24.20 <sup>cde</sup>  | 15.85-  | 294.50 <sup>de</sup>  | 179.00- |
| FA_7          | (2.40)                |       | (1.51)               | 10.07   | (8.11)                | 42.25   | (144.52)              | 675.95  |
|               | 7.20 <sup>ab</sup>    | 5-9   | 5.73 <sup>abc</sup>  | 5.14-   | 14.09 <sup>ab</sup>   | 8.78-   | 104.38 <sup>ab</sup>  | 43.89-  |
| FA_8          | (1.32)                |       | (0.63)               | 7.00    | (4.67)                | 26.20   | (46.03)               | 209.60  |
|               | 7.70 <sup>ab</sup>    | 4-14  | 5.63 <sup>abc</sup>  | 4.33-   | 14.63 <sup>ab</sup>   | 6.53-   | 104.35 <sup>ab</sup>  | 61.77-  |
| FA_9          | (3.16)                |       | (0.75)               | 6.80    | (4.88)                | 24.99   | (33.39)               | 149.95  |
| 54.40         | 8.70 <sup>abcd</sup>  | 6-14  | 5.50 <sup>abc</sup>  | 4.07-   | 15.81 <sup>abc</sup>  | 9.69-   | 134.95 <sup>abc</sup> | 80.90-  |
| FA_10         | (2.54)                |       | (0.83)               | 7.00    | (3.21)                | 19.57   | (40.88)               | 215.27  |
| <b>FA</b> 44  | 8.50 <sup>abcd</sup>  | 5.46  | 5.52 <sup>abc</sup>  | 4.00-   | 16.95 <sup>abcd</sup> | 10.60-  | 139.88 <sup>abc</sup> | 63.58-  |
| FA_11         | (3.14)                | 5-16  | (0.77)               | 7.06    | (4.26)                | 23.76   | (48.01)               | 245.12  |
| 54 40         | 8.00 <sup>ab</sup>    |       | 5.34 <sup>abc</sup>  | 3.75-   | 12.11ª                | 5.79-   | 107.77 <sup>ab</sup>  | 32.74-  |
| FA_12         | (3.16)                | 4-14  | (1.02)               | 6.86    | (7.12)                | 28.77   | (110.46)              | 402.82  |
| FA 42         | 10.40 <sup>abcd</sup> | 7 4 0 | 5.25 <sup>abc</sup>  | 4.55-   | 8.03ª                 | 5.66-   | 81.94ª                | 51.36-  |
| FA_13         | (3.37)                | 7-18  | (0.57)               | 6.57    | (2.09)                | 11.97   | (27.93)               | 130.12  |
| <b>Г</b> А 14 | 7.90 <sup>ab</sup>    | 6-11  | 5.81 <sup>abcd</sup> | 4.17-   | 13.53 <sup>ab</sup>   | 6.07-   | 103.89 <sup>ab</sup>  | 48.59-  |
| FA_14         | (1.73)                |       | (1.46)               | 9.13    | (7.51)                | 32.10   | (49.33)               | 192.62  |
| FA 16         | 7.40 <sup>ab</sup>    | 4-12  | 5.00 <sup>ab</sup>   | 3.71-   | 12.90 <sup>ab</sup>   | 8.61-   | 96.21ª                | 51.64-  |
| FA_16         | (2.67)                |       | (0.62)               | 5.92    | (2.10)                | 14.65   | (41.68)               | 175.79  |
| FA 47         | 8.40 <sup>abcd</sup>  | 6 1 2 | 5.28 <sup>abc</sup>  | 4.50-   | 11.65ª                | 7.05-   | 100.59 <sup>ab</sup>  | 42.28-  |
| FA_17         | (2.46)                | 6-13  | (0.55)               | 6.00    | (3.19)                | 16.12   | (45.65)               | 190.50  |
| FA 40         | 9.10 <sup>abcd</sup>  | 6.46  | 5.23 <sup>ab</sup>   | 4.75-   | 9.87ª                 | 7.63-   | 89.84ª                | 48.96-  |
| FA_18         | (3.11)                | 6-16  | (0.46)               | 5.91    | (1.57)                | 12.06   | (32.40)               | 148.95  |
| FA 40         | 7.00 <sup>a</sup>     | 4 4 2 | 4.68ª                | 3.00-   | 8.48ª                 | 4.90-   | 58.38ª                | 38.25-  |
| FA_19         | (2.58)                | 4-13  | (1.01)               | 6.56    | (1.89)                | 11.47   | (22.68)               | 99.37   |
| FA 20         | 8.90 <sup>abcd</sup>  | C 11  | 6.14 <sup>bcd</sup>  | 5.10-   | 12.49ª                | 8.50-   | 108.74 <sup>ab</sup>  | 67.98-  |
| FA_20         | (1.60)                | 6-11  | (1.31)               | 8.83    | (3.57)                | 18.84   | (28.01)               | 160.26  |

\*Tukey post-hoc test, p<0.05

Table 3. OneWay ANOVA based on measured leaf parameters: the number of leaves per plant (NCL), the number of leaflets (NLCL), leaf area per each leaf (LACL) and the total leaf area per seedling (TAL).

|      | Between groups |    |          | Within groups |     |         |         |        |
|------|----------------|----|----------|---------------|-----|---------|---------|--------|
|      | SS             | df | MS       | SS            | df  | MS      | F       | р      |
| NCL  | 458            | 17 | 26.92    | 1024.5        | 162 | 6.32    | 4.2560  | 0.0000 |
| NLCL | 167            | 17 | 9.82     | 141.2         | 162 | 0.87    | 11.2673 | 0.0000 |
| LACL | 8088           | 17 | 475.76   | 5649.9        | 162 | 34.88   | 13.6414 | 0.0000 |
| TLA  | 1330151        | 17 | 78244.16 | 841920.6      | 162 | 5197.04 | 15.0555 | 0.0000 |

Table 4. Coefficient of variation for all morphological parameters of leafs within and between half-sib lines, and coefficient of correlation between all morphological parameters: the number of leaves per plant (NCL), the number of leaflets (NLCL), leaf area per each leaf (LACL) and the total leaf area per seedling (TAL).

|               | Coefficient of variation [CV%] |                             |            |        |  |  |  |
|---------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------|--------|--|--|--|
| Half-sib line | NCL                            | NLCL                        | LACL       | TLA    |  |  |  |
| FA_2          | 30.49                          | 13.16                       | 38.91      | 44.50  |  |  |  |
| FA_3          | 20.99                          | 16.26                       | 45.95      | 35.96  |  |  |  |
| FA_4          | 19.93                          | 12.14                       | 20.68      | 33.17  |  |  |  |
| FA_5          | 17.74                          | 11.49                       | 21.90      | 30.51  |  |  |  |
| FA_6          | 15.68                          | 9.42                        | 22.28      | 29.77  |  |  |  |
| FA_7          | 20.03                          | 19.30                       | 33.53      | 49.07  |  |  |  |
| FA_8          | 18.29                          | 11.02                       | 33.17      | 44.10  |  |  |  |
| FA_9          | 41.09                          | 13.25                       | 33.33      | 31.99  |  |  |  |
| FA_10         | 29.20                          | 15.05                       | 20.32      | 30.29  |  |  |  |
| FA_11         | 36.89                          | 13.89                       | 25.14      | 34.32  |  |  |  |
| FA_12         | 39.53                          | 19.00                       | 58.81      | 102.50 |  |  |  |
| FA_13         | 32.43                          | 10.82                       | 26.05      | 34.09  |  |  |  |
| FA_14         | 21.88                          | 25.10                       | 55.47      | 47.48  |  |  |  |
| FA_16         | 36.15                          | 12.33                       | 16.26      | 43.32  |  |  |  |
| FA_17         | 29.27                          | 10.34                       | 27.39      | 45.38  |  |  |  |
| FA_18         | 34.15                          | 8.81                        | 15.88      | 36.06  |  |  |  |
| FA_19         | 36.89                          | 21.66                       | 22.29      | 38.85  |  |  |  |
| FA_20         | 17.92                          | 21.36                       | 28.59      | 25.76  |  |  |  |
| Total         | 31.72                          | 21.83                       | 51.70      | 68.98  |  |  |  |
|               | Coefficien                     | t of correlation [r](p < 0. | .05, n=18) |        |  |  |  |
| Parameter     | NCL                            | NLCL                        | LACL       | TLA    |  |  |  |
| NCL           | 1,00                           | 0,85                        | 0,60       | 0,80   |  |  |  |
| NLCL          | 0,85                           | 1,00                        | 0,84       | 0,94   |  |  |  |
| LACL          | 0,60                           | 0,84                        | 1,00       | 0,95   |  |  |  |
| TLA           | 0,80                           | 0,94                        | 0,95       | 1,00   |  |  |  |

Table 5. Coefficient of correlation (n=18) between height (HT) and root collar diameter (DIA) and leaf morphological parameters: number of leaves per plant (NCL), number of leaflets (NLCL), leaf area per each leaf (LACL) and total leaf area per seedling (TAL).

|     | NLCL | LACL | TLA  | NCL  |
|-----|------|------|------|------|
| DIA | 0,85 | 0,90 | 0,90 | 0,67 |
| HT  | 0,95 | 0,92 | 0,97 | 0,78 |

# 4 Discussion and conclusion

Indication of frequent drought episodes due to global warming predicted by the climate models (Salinger et al. 2005), emphasizes the urgent need to study the morphological and physiological adaptation strategies of plants to environmental changes including future climate change (Wang et al. 2019). Also, variability in individual leaf size and its trade-off with total leaf number in a plant have particularly important implications for understanding the adaption strategy of plants to environmental changes (Wang et al. 2019). Plants growing in the same habitat with a great variety of leaf sizes are expected to have distinct abilities of thermal regulation influencing leaf water loss and shedding heat (Wang et al. 2019). In hot and dry environments and at high intensities of solar radiation smaller leaves are advantageous, while large leaves with less efficient energy exchange capacity are advantageous in cooler, moister and lower irradiance environments (Niinemets et al. 2006; Meier and Leuschner 2008; Tozer et al. 2015). Fraxinus angustifolia is species native to the moist sites, which according to Long and Jones (1996) may have the greatest capacity for high rates of leaf area production. Also, high genetic variability in Fraxinus angustifolia natural population (Jarni 2009; Jarni et al. 2011; Papi et al. 2012; Jeandroz et al. 1996; Kremer et al. 2010; Čortan et. al. 2017; Temunović et al. 2012; Abbate et al. 2020) indicates high adaption strategy of this species.

Leaf parameters can be considered as a valuable morphological attribute of seedlings quality, strongly correlated to other morphological attributes (Ivetić et al. 2014), especially with growth elements height and root collar diameter. In this study total leaf area per seedling (TLA) can be considered as the most variable parameter, and it is strongly correlated with growth elements (HT and DIA). Also, the correlation coefficient in this study is higher compared to correlations reported by lvetić et al. (2014) between TLA and DIA/HT, but lower between NCL and DIA/HT. Strong correlations between leaf traits and shoot size are logical (Ivetić et al. 2014). Thus, we confirmed high variability and differences in all investigated leaf parameters. One of the main tasks, according to FRAXIGEN (2005) is to identify superior reproductive material for production and genetic improvement programmes for narrow-leaved ash, which at first mean evaluation of seed sources. High genetic variability means that narrow-leaved ash has a great genetic potential for conservation and directed utilization (Kerkez et al. 2018). The number of half-sib lines in reforestation determines the degree of genetic diversity and adaptability and depends on the seed source (seed stands or orchards), seed processing and nursery production (Ivetić et al. 2016).

According to Ivetić et. al. (2014) total leaf area and average leaf area are suitable for testing the seedling's quality (SQ). As additional knowledge and contribution, results from this study confirmed high variability of leaf parameters and their high correlation to the growth of one-year-old *Fraxinus angustifolia* seedlings.

# 5 Acknowledgements

These researches was realized within the project activities of the Faculty of Forestry in accordance with the Agreement on financing the scientific research work of the SRO in 2020, registration number 451-02-68/2020/14/2000169 which is financed by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technological Development.

## **6** References

- Abbate L, Mercati F, Di Noto G, Heuertz M, Carimi F, Fatta del Bosco S, Schicchi R (2020) Genetic Distinctiveness Highlights the Conservation Value of a Sicilian Manna Ash Germplasm Collection Assigned to *Fraxinus angustifolia* (*Oleaceae*). Plants, 9 (8), 1035. https://doi.org/10.3390/plants9081035
- Andrić I (2018) Genotypic and phenological variability of Narrow-leaf ash (*Fraxinus angustifolia* Vahl.) in clonal seed orchards. PhD thesis, Faculty of Forestry, University of Zagreb, Croatia.
- lack-Samuelsson S, Whiteley R E, Junzhan G (2003) Growth and leaf morphology response to drought stress in the riparian broadleaved tree *Ulmus laevis* (Pall.). Silvae genetica, 52(5-6):292-299.
- Bobinac M, Andrašev S, Šijačić-Nikolić M (2010) Elements of growth and structure of narrow-leaved ash (*Fraxinus angustifolia* Vahl) annual seedlings in the nursery on fluvisol. Period Biol 112 (3):341-351.
- Čortan D, Mačar V, Vukičević V, Šijačić-Nikolić M (2017) Morphometric variability of Narrow-leaved ash samaras at the test-tree level. Bulletin of the Faculty of Forestry 115: 55-64. <u>https://doi.org/10.2298/GSF1715055C</u>
- Drvodelić D, Ugarković D, Oršanić M, Paulić V (2016) The Impact of Drought, Normal Watering and Substrate Saturation on the Morphological and Physiological Condition of Container Seedlings of Narrow-Leaved Ash (*Fraxinus angustifolia* Vahl). South-east European Forestry 7(2): 135-142. https://doi.org/10.15177/seefor.16-11
- FRAXIGEN (2005) Ash species in Europe: biological characteristics and practical guidelines for sustainable use. A summary of findings from the FRAXIGEN project, EU project EVKCT- 00108. University of Oxford, Oxford.
- Ivetić V, Devetaković J, Nonić M, Stanković D, Šijačić-Nikolić M (2016) Genetic diversity and forest reproductive material - from seed source selection to planting. iForest 9: 801-812. <u>https://doi.org/10.3832/ifor1577-009</u>
- Ivetić V, Stjepanović S, Devetaković J, Stanković D, Škorić M (2014) Relationships between leaf traits and morphological attributes in one-year bareroot *Fraxinus angustifolia* Vahl. Seedling. Annals of Forest Research 57(2): 197-203. <u>https://doi.org/10.15287/afr.2014.214</u>
- Jarni K (2009) Varijabilnost poljskega jasena (*Fraxinus angustifolia* Vahl) v Sloveniji. Magistarsko Delo. Ljubljana, Univerza v Ljubljani, Biotehniška fakulteta, Ljubljana.
- Jarni K, Westergren M, Kraigher H, Brus R (2011) Morphological variability of *Fraxinus angustifolia* Vahl in the north-westrn Balkans. Acta Soc Bot Pol 80(3): 245-252. <u>https://doi.org/10.5586/asbp.2011.014</u>
- Jeandroz S, Frascaria-Lacoste N, Bousquet J (1996) Molecular recognition of the closely related *Fraxinus* excelsior and *F. oxyphylla* (*Oleaceae*) by RAPD markers. Forest Genetics 3: 237-242.
- Kerkez I, Nonić M, Devetaković J, Šijačić-Nikolić M, Ivetić V (2018) The effect of half-sib lines on morphological attributes of one-year old *Fraxinus angustifolia* seedlings. Reforesta 5: 15-21. <u>https://doi.org/10.21750/REFOR.5.03.49</u>
- Kleiman D, Aarssen LW (2007) The leaf size/number trade-off in trees. J. Ecol. 95, 376-382. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2745.2006.01205.x
- Koch GW, Sillett SC, Jennings GM, Davis SD (2004) The limits to tree height. Nature 428, 851-854. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature02417
- Kremer D, Jurišić-Grubešić R, Dubravac T (2010) Morphometric research of samaras of North American ash species from Croatian populations. Periodicum Biologorum 112(3): 333-339.
- Long TJ, Jones RH (1996) Seedling growth strategies and seed size effects in fourteen oak species native to different soil moisture habitats. Trees 11: 1-8. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s004680050051</u>
- Meier IC, Leuschner C (2008) Leaf size and leaf area index in *Fagus sylvatica* forests: competing effects of precipitation, temperature, and nitrogen availability. Ecosystems 11: 655-669. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10021-008-9135-2
- Niinemets Ü, Portsmuth A, Tobias M (2006) Leaf size modifies support biomass distribution among stems, petioles and mid-ribs in temperate plants. New Phytol. 171, 91-104. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2006.01741.x</u>

- Papi RM, Spanos KA, Kyriakidi DA (2012) Genetic variation of *Fraxinus angustifolia* natural populations in Greece based on nuclear and chloroplast microsatellite markers. Eur J Forest Res 131:1151-1161. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10342-011-0586-1</u>
- Salinger MJ, SivakumarMVK, Motha R (2005) Reducing vulnerability of agriculture and forestry to climate variability and change: workshop summary and recommendations. Clim. Change 70: 341-362. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-005-5954-8</u>
- Šrámek M, Čermák J (2012) The vertical leaf distribution of *Ulmus laevis* Pall., Trees 26 (6): 1781-1792. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00468-012-0747-y
- Temunović M, Franjić J, Satovic Z, Grgurev M, Frascaria-Lacoste N, Fernández-Manjarrés JF (2012) Environmental heterogeneity explains the genetic structure of continental and Mediterranean populations of *Fraxinus angustifolia* Vahl. PloS one, 7(8), e42764. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0042764</u>
- Tomić Z (2004) Šumarska fitocenologija. Univerzitet u Beogradu, Šumarski fakultet, Beograd (1- 262).
- Tozer WC, Rice B, Westoby M (2015) Evolutionary divergence of leaf width and its correlates. Am. J. Bot. 102: 367-378. <u>https://doi.org/10.3732/ajb.1400379</u>
- Wang C, He J, Zhao TH, Cao Y, Wang G, Sun B, Yan X, Guo W, Li MH (2019) The smaller the leaf is, the faster the leaf water loses in a temperate forest. Frontiers in plant science, 10:58. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2019.00058
- Wright IJ, Reich PB, Westoby M, Ackerly DD, Baruch Z, Bongers F, Cavender-Bares J, Chapin T, Cornelissen JHC, Diemer M, Flexas J, Garnier E, Groom PK, Gulias J, Hikosaka K, Lamont BB, Lee T, Lee W, Lusk C, Midgley JJ, Navas ML, Niinemets Ü, Oleksyn J, Osada N, Poorter H, Poot P, Prior L, Pyankov VI, Roumet C, Thomas SC, Tjoelker MG, Veneklaas EJ, Villar R (2004) The worldwide leaf economics spectrum. Nature 428, 821-827. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature02403
- Yang DM, Li GY, Sun SC (2008) The generality of leaf size versus number trade-off in temperate woody species. Ann. Bot. 102: 623-629. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/aob/mcn135</u>